

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/520,755	01/10/2005	Ralf Landgraf	18501	5061	
SCHILLY SCO	7590 12/22/200 OTT, MURPHY & PRE	EXAM	EXAMINER		
400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA SUITE 300 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			BERMAN, JASON		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	-,		1795		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/22/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/520,755	LANDGRAF ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jason M. Berman	1795		

	Jason M. Berman	1795	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 N he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejectio	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 (f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of		26(a) and the annualist	
Extensions of uniter hip by doublemed united 3 of Pri. 1,35(q), if the days have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 oFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	of Control of Notice of Non-Co		OTOL 204)
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (i	-1 OL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all.		timely filed amendmen	t canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	owable ii subiliitted iii a separate, i	intery med amendmen	it canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6, 9-10, 13-14, 16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
/Nam X Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1753			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As discussed in the previous action, Saunders discloses an angled spring clip which has a base arm wedged between side walls of the recess (Figure 2: showing clips 16 and 17 each having a circular portion wedged in their respective recesses between all four sides forming the recess).

Applicant further argues the distinctions between the prior art and the current invention include the current inventions improved resiliency to breaking. This argument is not found persuasive because no feature linked to this improvement is found within the claims. Applicant also argues the current invention is directed towards a cylindrical target. This feature is also not found within the claimed subject matter.

Applicant additionally argues that Saunders requires the backing plate and target to both be replaced. Saunders is relied upon for its clamping element construction to be integrated in the invention of Belli. Belli discloses a target an carrying sleeve (as previously discussed). Applicant's arounnents as to the economic benefits of the current invention over the prior at it so to found persuasive.